

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/829,532	Applicant(s) RAPHAELI ET AL.	
	Examiner Jean B. Corrielus	Art Unit 2611	

All Participants:

(1) Jean B. Corrielus.

(2) Howard Zaretsky.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 19 September 2008

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

17-25

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant agrees to cancel claims 17-22 so as to avoid a double patenting rejection in view of at least claim 58 of Patent No. 6,727,790. in addition applicant agrees to amend claims 23 and 25 and cancel claim 24 so as to avoid a rejection, at least in view of the previously applied reference to Park et al so as to advance prosecution.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

